1878-79
(L.R.)

File No. 13/1878.
Serial no. 1-4.

Sub:— Proposal to remit the Jodi amount uncollected on other Inams in the Bangalore District.

1878-79

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

L. R. 7 of 1873 191.
(L. R.)

Later references.

File No. 13 of 1878

Serial Nos. 1-4

(Incomplete)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-4

Major ~~Part~~ B for April 79.

SUBJECT.

Proposal to remit the Jodi amount uncollected on Chor Inams in the Bangalore District.

Indexed
S Basavaya

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

To Shillong with enclosures
10-10-78.

Sub-Dept drafted
for approval.
PR 16/10

R.R.
File No. 13 2-1-78
Serial No.

Ex. 2566
13 1878.

O. 2997.

From Secretary to Chief Commissioner
Receiving Dept

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

Dated 7 October
Despatched
Received 9 "

ENCLOSURES NO 2

General No. 706 } 1878—79.
R No.

PR
Draft
11/10

Sir,

With reference to this
Office letter No. R 234 of
the 13th March 1874, I
have the honour to forward
herewith a Docket from the
Deputy Commissioner of
Bongaigaon District, and
the Enclosures noted in
the margin of the Commissioner's
Judge on Civil Cases from
the year 1872/73 to 77/78 for
the reasons mentioned in
the Statement submitted
with this Office letter above
quoted. I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Commissioner

1878

From The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

To The Commissioner
Mysore Division

Dated 23rd September
Enclosure 1
No 681.

I has the honor to submit herewith a Statement showing the amount of Jodi or Cher leases in the District from 1877/73 to 1877/78, and to state that the amount of Jodi ryt. 271.9.6 due to Government for these pieces as detailed in the Statement could not be received for the reasons given in the Statement submitted with this Office Docket No 627 dated 13th October 1873, and as this has been a source of confusion in the accounts, the undersigned begs the favor of obtaining sanction to the same being struck off the accounts.

I have &c
L. Rickets
Dy Commissioner

Copy
R. H. Sams. Manager
for Commissioner

Statement Shewing the Amount of Jodi payable to Government on their Inams in the Bangalore District from 1872/73 to 1877/78 and which is found irrecoverable.

No	Talooks	72/73			73/74			74/75			75/76			76/77			77/78			Total.		
1	Bangalore	1	4	"	1	4	"	1	4	"	1	4	"	1	4	"	1	4	"	7	8	"
2	Nosakote	"	9	4	"	9	4	"	9	4	"	9	4	"	9	4	"	9	4	3	8	"
3	Doda Ballapur	1	11	8	1	11	8	1	11	8	1	11	8	1	11	8	1	11	8	10	6	"
4	Nellamangala	4	5	10	3	11	10	3	11	10	3	11	10	3	11	10	3	11	10	23	1	"
5	Hankanhelly	"	14	"	"	14	"	"	14	"	"	14	"	"	14	"	"	14	"	5	4	"
6	Magadi	36	15	9	36	15	9	36	15	9	36	15	9	36	15	9	36	15	9	221	14	6
	Total	45	12	7	45	2	7	45	2	7	45	2	7	45	2	7	45	2	7	271	9	6

Deputy Commissioners Office
Bangalore District
23 September 1878.

Sd/-
R. H. Jones Manager
In Commission 3

(Signed) L. Rickets
Dy Commissioner

1878. No 106

From

To the Commissioner Mandyadroog
Do

Dated 17th October 1878.

Despatched — do —

Received 23rd do

Enclosures No. —

General No. 5563
12 No. 114

Rec'd 24th Oct 1878

Mandya

His letter No 206 dated 9th Instt forwarding copy of a docket from the Dy Comr, Bangalore and of its enclosure, recommending the remission of Jodi on Chor Inams from the year 1872-73 to 1877-78.

With reference to the above, Enquires whether the Chor Inams in question in the Bangalore District were confirmed to the Jodidars or resumed by Govt at the Inam Settlement; as, in the former case, the Inams should have been attached for not having paid the Jodi fixed on them during the Inam Settlement; and

in the latter case the Judi thereon should not have been included in the demand for the years subsequent to the date of the Loan Settle-ment.

By order

[illegible]

Secretary.

Bangalore District.
Dy. Commissioner's Office
7th March 1879.
Camp Jungumkote.

No. 1303.

To. The Commissioner
Kundydroog Division

Sir,

In reply to your No. 860 dated 22d. Octr. last, I have the honor to state that some of the Chor Inams referred to, had been confirmed to the Jodidars at the time of the Inam Settlement; but the Jodi cannot be recovered by attachment, as they were first entered in the accounts for 1872: 73 from some very old standing reference, in order to a formal application for permission of the same being made -

2. This application was accordingly made, so early as the 15th Octr. 1873, with docket No: 627, enclosing a statement giving detailed explanation as to the irrecoverable nature of the amounts, and pending sanction to the same,

the

the items have continued to be
charged for every succeeding
year, with a view to their being
ultimately wiped off the accounts -
3. I beg therefore now to submit
a statement in detail, and to
request sanction for the remis-
:sion of the amount viz: Rupees
247. 6. 5; and to state that in
anticipation of sanction, I
have issued instructions to
omit including these items in
the accounts from the year
1878: 79 -

I have &c:
(Signed) L. Ricketts.
Dy: Commissioner

"Copy"
R. N. Jones. Manager
for Commissioner

Statement showing the amount of Jodi Payable to Government on Chor Banjalore District from 1872:73 to 1877:78, and which is found irrecoverable

No.	Talak	Irrecoverable Jodi to be remitted from 1872:73 to 1877:78	Remarks
1	Banjalore	7 8 ..	The details are given in the statement forwarded dated 13th October 1873. The Chor Inam in Taluk during the Inam Settlement in 1872:73; but the Rs 7-8-0 from 1872:73 to 1877:78, retained in the Inam recommended to be written off.
2	Hoskote	3 8 ..	During the Inam Settlement a Jirit Rent of Rs Chor Inam in this Talak The irrecoverable jodi Rs 3-8-.. is recommended to be remitted.
3	Dod Ballapur	10 6 ..	During the Inam Settlement the Asstt: Supdt: up the case on the ground of the Inam being on requiring to be dealt with during Survey Settlement to the irrecoverable jodi of Rs 10-6-.. from 1872:73 the accounts.
4	Nellamangala	23 1 ..	The Amildar submits that the Chor Inam Talak has already been fully assessed on a compu and the jodi thereon was remitted. Nevertheless entered in the Inam account which is recommen accounts.
5	Kankanhalli	5 4 ..	The case of Chor Inam in this Talak was ta Inam Settlement, the result being an imposition The irrecoverable jodi up to 1877:78 is recommended to
6	Magadi	197 11 5	The details regarding the impracticability of Chor Inams in this Talak are given in the st this Office No 627 dated 13th October 1873. The amo aggregates Rs 36-15-9 per annum. Of this sum a were disposed of during the Inam settlement in the same from the account, and Rs 14-13-9 in 18 disposed of and deducted from accounts amounted to balance of Rs 17-7-4 undisposed of. The requisite therewith are stated by the Amildar to have been Deptt: and the result of enquiry is not forthco

The irrecoverable jodi up to 1877:78 a as given below, and which is recommended

1872:73	36-15-9
1873:74	36-15-9
1874:75	36-15-9
1875:76	36-15-9
1876:77	32-5-1
1877:78	17-7-4
	197-11-5

247 6 5

Banjalore District
Dy Comm'r's Office
Camp Gauribidanur
9th March 1879

T.M.K.

Dy Commissioner

Inams in the
½ -

d with this Office Ex 62/
immanahalli was disposed
½ irrecoverable Jodi viz,
on Account, to Recom-

s / was imposed on the
to 1877-78, amounting to

Inams declined to take
held for Services
Sanction is solicited
to 1877-78 being written off
in the Hulamanjala
unit against the Inams
the Jodi remitted was
ed to be written off the

Kept up during the
f Quit Rent thereon -
be Remitted -
Recurring the Jodi on
tenure submitted with
unt of Jodi on these Inams
mounting to Rs 4-10-8
875-76 By deducting
6-77 - The Jodi thus
Rs 19-8-5 leaving a
particulars in connection
furnished to the Inam
ing -
mounts to Rs 197-11-5
be Remitted -

Note by Duftar.

1. It is not stated whether this is a personal or Service inam and whether, during the Inam Settlement, it was confirmed or resumed. If confirmed when was the Quit-Rent registered received?
2. The present statement shews that ½ only of the amount of Quit-Rent was collected. Why was not the other half collected? What is the amount of the former Jodi? Is the inam a personal or service one?
3. This is admissible under Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No 782 R 10 of 20th April 1877.
4. This is admissible, being admittedly due to an erroneous inclusion in the Accounts.
5. This case is not clearly stated. When was the Quit-rent imposed? It is presumed the Jodi was shewn in accounts separately, even after combined Quit-Rent including Jodi was imposed
6. May be sanctioned.

Call for explanation as the above points

29/3/79

31/3/79

S Mahetta
Commissioner

81

1879-80 No 2

From

To The Comr Inmdydry Srm

L. R.
Serial No. 13 of 78
4

Dated 7th
Despatched " } April
Received 10th May.
Enclosures No. "

General No. 150
R No. 2

His docket No. 380 of 24 Mt. submitting a detailed statement of the unenumerated Inams in the Bangalore District from 1872-73 to 1877-78 together with the Dy. Comrs explanation on the subject.

With reference to the three cases noted in the margin requesting explanation as to whether the inams are personal or service inams whether, during Inam Settlement, No.1 was recommended or confirmed, & in the latter case whether the Quit-Rent Register has received.

2 Information is also

Talk.	Inam. cable Jodi Rs. a. p.	Dy. Comrs Remarks.
1. Bangalore	7-0-0	The Chor inam in Kaka Timmanahalli was disposed of during the Inam Settlement in 1872-73.
2. Hoskote	3-0-0	During the Inam Settlement a Quit-rent of Rs.7/- was imposed on the Chor inam in this taluk.
5. Kankanhalli	5-4-0	The case of Chor inam in this taluk was taken up during the Inam Sett., the result being an imposition of Quit-rent thereof.

requested as to the amount of the former Jodi fixed on main No. 2 and why half the amount of Suit-land injured by the Survey Dept. was not collected.

3 In case No. 5 it is presumed the Jodi was shown separately in the accounts even after combined Suit-land was fixed by the Survey Dept. It is requested that the date of Survey settlement in this case may be intimated.

By order

Asst Accountant